

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0021-PR

BERENT v MROCZKOWSKA et al

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 2-Feb-2024
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. NANCY BERENT, Plaintiff/Appellant

(Litigant Group) NANCY BERENT

- Nancy Berent PRO SE

Side 2. GRAZYNA MROCZKOWSKA, et al., Defendant/Appellee

(Litigant Group) GRAZYNA MROCZKOWSKA, et al.

- Grazyna Mroczkowska Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellee
Debra S Brockway, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 14974)
- Miroslaw Mroczkowski

(Litigant Group) BELL WEST RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION

- Bell West Ranch Homeowners Association Inc Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellee
Kesley P Dressen, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 32986)
Joseph A Brophy, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 26662)

CASE STATUS

Feb 2, 2024.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-CV 22-0721					
✚ + MAR CV2016-095220			Comments: (none)		
✚ + MAR CV2017-052655	Administrative Review		Daniel J Kiley, Authoring Judge of Order		
✚ + MAR LC2018-000464-001	Judicial Reveiw of Administrative Decision		Comments: (none) Patricia A Starr, Authoring Judge of Order		
✚ + MAR LC2021-000100-001			Comments: (none) Comments: (none)		

9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 2-Feb-2024 FILED: Petition for Review; Application for Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees or Costs and Consent to Entry of Judgment; Consent for Electronic Distribution by the Supreme Court Clerk (Appellant Berent, Pro Se)
- 6-Feb-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 9-Feb-2024 On February 2, 2024, Appellant Berent, pro se, filed an "Application for Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees or Costs and Consent to Entry of Judgment." On the form, Berent indicated that she was entitled to a deferral or waiver because she participates in the Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program. The document attached as proof, however, shows that Berent receives disability benefits, not SSI.

Without proof that an applicant receives SSI benefits, other government assistance (such as food stamps), or legal assistance from a non-profit legal aid program, this Court cannot evaluate the request for deferral or waiver unless the applicant fills out the financial questionnaire in section 3 of the application. Berent left the financial questionnaire blank, and has not provided proof of participation in any of the other programs that would automatically qualify her for deferral or waiver. Based on the foregoing,

The Clerk of the Court having been authorized by the Supreme Court to enter orders granting or denying requests for Deferral/Waiver of Court Fees and/or Costs,

IT IS ORDERED denying the application without prejudice to Appellant filing proof of Social Security Income (SSI) or submitting a new application with the financial questionnaire completed on or before February 23, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman , Clerk)
- 15-Feb-2024 RECEIPT No.: 2024-00022 ; \$280.00 Applied to: NANCY BERENT - Class A Filing Fee (\$280.00) Paid for: NANCY BERENT - By nCourt LLC Portal (Memo: 60D9C50A-B992-4E40-A549-228B5162E5F4)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0021-PR

BERENT v MROCZKOWSKA et al

9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

5. 4-Mar-2024 FILED: Response to Petition for Review of Appellee Defendant Bell West Ranch Homeowner's Association, Inc.; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Appellee Bell West Ranch)
6. 13-Mar-2024 RECEIPT No.: 2024-00056 ; \$140.00, Authorization: 8123420245777037, Applied to: BELL WEST RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION - Appellee's Filing Fee (\$140.00) Paid for: BELL WEST RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION - By nCourt LLC
7. 2-Apr-2024 FILED: Reply on Petition for Review (See Event 8) (Appellant Berent, Pro Se)
8. 9-Apr-2024 On April 2, 2024, Appellant Nancy Berent, Pro Se, filed a "Reply on Petition for Review." Pursuant to Rule 23(f)(4) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, "[t]he petitioner or cross-petitioner may not file a reply unless the Supreme Court enters an order specifically authorizing it, and then the petitioner or cross-petitioner must file the reply within the time set by that order." The Supreme Court has not entered an order authorizing a reply. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED dismissing Appellant's reply filed on April 2, 2024. (Hon Clint Bolick)
9. 10-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Permission to File Reply on Petition for Review (Berent, Pro Se)